Study of Morphological Variations of Vermiform Appendix and Caecum in Cadavers of Western Maharashtra Region
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Abstract Aim was to study morphological variations of vermiform appendix and caecum. 60 embalmed donated cadavers (30 male and 30 female) were dissected to study morphological variations of vermiform appendix and caecum in the department of Anatomy of Grant Government Medical College, Byculla, Mumbai, India. Position, length, outer girth of appendix, distance of appendix from ileocaecal junction, shape, length and width of caecum were studied. Sex differences and relation between shape of caecum and position of appendix were studied. Photographs were taken for proper documentation. Most common position of vermiform appendix was retrocaecal 56.67% then pelvic 25%, preileal 15% and postileal 3.33%. In males it was retrocaecal 23.33% then pelvic 15%, preileal 8.33% and postileal 3.33%. In females it was retrocaecal 33.33% then pelvic 10%, preileal 6.67%. Average length of appendix was 5.93 cm. In males it was 6.30 cm and in females it was 5.55 cm. Average outer girth of appendix was 2.80 cm. In males it was 2.83 cm and in females it was 2.76 cm. Average distance of appendix from ileocaecal junction was 2.47 cm. In males it was 2.63 cm and in females it was 2.31 cm. Most common shape of caecum was adult 73.33%, exaggerated 13.33%, infantile 8.33%, fetal 5%. In males it was adult 33.33%, exaggerated 10%, infantile 3.33%, and fetal 3.33%. In females it was adult 40%, exaggerated 3.33%, infantile 5% and fetal 1.67%. Average length of caecum was 7.52 cm. In males it was 8.07 cm and in females it was 6.97 cm. Average width of caecum was 8.48 cm. In males it was 9.05 cm and in females it was 7.92 cm. Out of total retrocaecal appendix 76.5% were with adult caecum, 11.8% were with exaggerated caecum, 8.8% were with infantile caecum, 2.9% were with fetal caecum. Out of total pelvic appendix 73.3% were with adult caecum, 13.3% were with infantile caecum, 13.3% were with fetal caecum and none of them were exaggerated. Out of total preileal appendix 55.6% were with adult caecum, 44.6% were with exaggerated caecum and none of them were fetal and infantile. Out of total postileal appendix 100% were with adult caecum and none of them were exaggerated, infantile or fetal. The knowledge of anatomy of vermiform appendix and caecum is of significant importance during surgical and radiological procedure to avoid any catastrophic complication.
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1. Introduction

The vermiform appendix was first described by Leonardo da Vinci in 1492 [1]. Appendix is a worm like tubular vestigial structure situated in right iliac fossa. Appendicitis is the most common cause of acute abdomen in young people. At present, appendectomy for appendicitis is the most commonly performed emergency operation in the world. Rate of appendectomy is 12% for men and 25% for women, with approximately 7% of all people undergoing appendectomy for acute appendicitis during their lifetime [2].

Anatomically, the position of the appendix can vary with respect to the caecum and can be retrocaecal, paracolic, preileal, postileal, pelvic or subcaecal [4]. Identification of the normal position of appendix is important because in appendicitis variable positions may produce symptoms and signs related to position and hence can mimic other diseases. Hence knowledge of these variations is essential for accurate diagnosis and treatment of the condition [3].

A retrocaecal appendix may lie behind a caecum distended with gas and thus it may be difficult to elicit tenderness on palpation in the right iliac region. Irritation of the psoas muscle conversely may cause the patient to keep the right hip joint flexed (psoas sign). An appendix hanging down in the pelvis may result in absent abdominal tenderness in the right lower quadrant but deep tenderness may be experienced just above the pubic symphysis. In pelvic appendicitis, diarrhea results from an inflamed appendix being in contact with rectum. Rectal or vaginal examination may reveal tenderness of the peritoneum in the pelvis on the right side. An inflamed appendix when it is in contact with the urinary bladder may cause increased frequency of micturition. If such an inflamed appendix perforates, a localized pelvic peritonitis may result. Long retro-colic inflamed appendix also called sub hepatic appendix and it causes confusion with cholecystitis. In retrocaecal and retrocolic variety of appendix, the chances of gangrenous complication are more because in these cases blood vessels get kinked. In preileal position appendix directs towards the spleen and if it becomes inflamed it is liable to result in general peritonitis and is the most dangerous position. Postileal appendix called missed appendix is common in children and in early adult life. Postileal inflamed appendix may cause diarrhea. Perforation of the appendix or transmigration of bacteria through the inflamed appendicular wall results in infection of the peritoneum of the greater sac. Inflammation of atypically located vermiform appendix may initiate inflammation of other organs which leads to diagnostic errors and life threatening complications [5].

With this rationale in mind, this study has been undertaken to investigate certain anatomical features and different positions of the vermiform appendix and caecum in cadavers of Western Maharashtra region.

2. Materials and Methods

1) Cadavers allotted to MBBS students were selected from medical colleges in the Western Maharashtra region. Both male and female cadavers were included in the study. Each cadaver was dissected by making a midline incision on the abdomen and reflecting the flaps.

2) The vermiform appendix was located by following the anterior taenia coli and its position was determined. Based on position, the appendix was categorized into retrocaecal, pelvic, preileal, postileal, paracolic, subcaecal and paracaecal groups. Photographs were taken of cadaveric appendix specimens.

3) The length of the appendix from the base to the tip was measured with the help of thread. Thread’s length was measured by measuring scale and the values were recorded.
4) Outer girth of appendix was measured with the help of thread at the midpoint of the appendix and thread's length was measured by measuring scale and the values were recorded.

5) Distance of the appendix from ileocaecal junction was measured between the lower border of the terminal part of ileum and the base of the appendix where taenia coli end. The distance was measured with the help of thread and thread’s length was measured by measuring scale and values were recorded.

6) Based on shape, the caecum was categorized into fetal, infantile, adult, exaggerated groups and photographs of caecum specimens were taken.

7) Length of a caecum was measured from a horizontal line at the level of the ileocaecal orifice to its lowest point with the help of thread and thread’s length was measured by measuring scale and values were recorded.

8) Width of caecum was measured with the help of thread at the midpoint of the caecum and thread’s length was measured by measuring scale and values were recorded.

3. Results and Discussion

There are various studies done on positions and dimensions of vermiform appendix and caecum all over the world such as Golalipur et al. (2003) in Iran, Kazurskij et al. (1979) in Zambia, Solanke T.F. (1970) in Nigeria. But there are few studies in India like Ajmani M.L. and Ajmani K. (1983) in Uttar Pradesh region; Geethanjali H.T. and Lakshmi Prabha Subhash (2012) in Karnataka region on this subject and there are very few studies in Western Maharashtra region. So we have studied different positions and dimensions of vermiform appendix and different shapes and dimensions of caecum in cadavers allotted to MBBS students of medical colleges in the Western Maharashtra region.

3.1. Position of Vermiform Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year, Number of Specimens</th>
<th>Percentage Occurrence of Various Positions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retrocaecal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakely [14], 1933, 10000.</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah and Shah [27], 1942, 59151.</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanke T.F. [8], 1970, 125.</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golalipur M.J. [4], 2003, 117.</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>56.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Hollinshead H.W., percentage occurrence of various positions of vermiform appendix are as follows retrocaecal and retrocolic (65.28%), pelvic (31.01%), subcaecal (2.26%), preileal (1%), postileal (0.4%) and vermiform appendix associated with an ectopically placed caecum (0.05%) [31].

In the Present Study it was found that

Most common position of vermiform appendix was retrocaecal 56.67% then pelvic 25% , preileal 15% and postileal 3.33%. In males it was retrocaecal 23.33% then pelvic 15%, preileal 8.33% and postileal 3.33%. In females it was retrocaecal 33.33% then pelvic 10%, preileal 6.67%.
Thus, in the present study the position of appendix was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors. This study also shows that the comparison of position of vermiform appendix between male and female was statistically not significant (p value = 0.28).

Table 2: Position of Appendix in Male and Female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendix Position</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PELVIC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREILEAL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETROCAECAL</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTILEAL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p- Value = 0.28

Graph 1: Position of Vermiform Appendix

3.3. Length of Vermiform Appendix

Table 3: Comparison of Length of the Vermiform Appendix of Present Study with Other Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year</th>
<th>Shortest (cm)</th>
<th>Longest (cm)</th>
<th>Average (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monks &amp; Blake, 1902</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaver, 1913</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, 1918</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson [49], 1923</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royster, 1927</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafferl, 1953</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of the vermiform appendix varies from 2 cm to 20 cm, with an average length of 9 cm [10]. Ajmani and Ajmani (1983) found average length of the appendix as 9.5 cm in male and 8.7 cm in female [33]. The appendix is lined by columnar epithelium [10].

In the Present Study it was found that

Average length of appendix was 5.93 cm with range from 2.8 cm to 12 cm. Average length of appendix in males was 6.30 cm with range from 2.8 cm to 12 cm and in females was 5.55 cm with range from 3 cm to 10.3 cm.
Thus, in the present study length of vermiform appendix was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors.

### 3.4. Outer Girth of Vermiform Appendix

Outer girth of vermiform appendix varies from 2 cm to 3 cm, with an average length of 2.8 cm [8].

**In the Present Study it was found that**

Average outer girth of appendix was 2.8 cm with range from 1.4 cm to 5.3 cm. Average outer girth of appendix in males was 2.83 cm with range from 1.4 cm to 4 cm and in females was 2.76 cm with range from 1.6 cm to 5.3 cm.

Thus, in the present study outer girth of appendix was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors.

### 3.5. Distance of Vermiform Appendix from Ileocaecal Junction

Distance of vermiform appendix from the ileocaecal junction varies from 2 cm - 3 cm, with an average of 2.5 cm [11]. The origin of appendix is about 2.5 cm below the ileocaecal valve from the posteromedial aspect of caecum [6].

**In the Present Study it was found that**

Average Distance of appendix from ileocaecal junction was 2.47 cm with range from 1 cm to 4.1 cm. Average Distance of appendix from ileocaecal junction in male was 2.63 cm with range from 1 cm to 3.9 cm and in female was 2.31 cm with range from 1.2 cm to 4.1 cm.

Thus, in the present study distance of vermiform appendix from the ileocaecal junction was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors.

### 3.6. Shape of Caecum

Most common shape of caecum is adult 90%, exaggerated 4%, infantile 3%, fetal 3% [7].

**In the Present Study it was found that**

Most common shape of caecum was adult 73.33%, exaggerated 13.33%, infantile 8.33%, fetal 5%. In males most common shape of caecum was adult 33.33%, exaggerated 10%, infantile 3.33%, and fetal 3.33%. In female most common shape of caecum is adult 40%, exaggerated 3.33%, infantile 5% and fetal 1.67%.

Thus, in the present study the shape of caecum was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors. This study also shows that the comparison of shape of caecum between male and female was statistically not significant (p value= 0.41).
3.7. Length of Caecum

Average axial length of caecum is about 6 cm [7].

In the Present Study it was found that

Average length of caecum was 7.52 cm with range from 5 cm to 10.6 cm. Average length of caecum in males was 8.07 cm with range from 6.1 cm to 10.6 cm and in females was 6.97 cm with range from 5 cm to 10.2 cm.

Thus, in the present study length of caecum was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors.

3.8. Width of Caecum

Average axial width of caecum is about 7.5 cm [7].

In Present Study it was found that

Average width of caecum was 8.48 cm with range from 6 cm to 12.5 cm. Average width of caecum in males was 9.05 cm with range from 6.8 cm to 12.5 cm and in females was 7.92 cm with range from 6 cm to 11.8 cm.

Thus, in the present study width of caecum was comparable to the study conducted by previous authors.

3.9. Relation between Position of Vermiform Appendix and Shape of Caecum

Jorge A., Ferreira J.R. and Pacheco Y.G. (2009), reported that in all positions of appendix most common shape of caecum is adult [37].

In Present Study it was found that

Out of total retrocaecal appendix 76.5% were with adult caecum, 11.8% were with exaggerated caecum, 8.8% were with infantile caecum, 2.9% were with fetal caecum. Out of total pelvic appendix 73.3% were with adult caecum, 13.3% were with infantile caecum, 13.3% were with fetal caecum and none of them were exaggerated. Out of total preileal appendix 55.6% were with adult caecum, 44.6% were with exaggerated caecum and none of them were fetal and infantile. Out of total postileal appendix 100% were with adult caecum and none of them were exaggerated, infantile or fetal.

Thus, in the present study the positions of appendix and shapes of the caecum were comparable to the study conducted by previous authors. This study also shows that the comparison of position of vermiform appendix and shape of the caecum was statistically not significant.
Table 5: Relation between Shape of Caecum and Position of Appendix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position of Appendix</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Exaggerated</th>
<th>Fetal</th>
<th>Infantile</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PELVIC</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREILEAL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETROCAECAL</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSTILEAL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>chi-square value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chi- Square</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph 2: Relation between Shape of Caecum and Position of Appendix

4. Conclusion

In human beings due to lack of definition of its true function, the vermiform appendix was considered as a rudimentary and vestigial organ [47]. But if the position, length, outer girth and distance from ileo-caecal junction of vermiform appendix are detected, it will help to decrease the complications of appendicular pathology [48]. A thorough knowledge of anatomical shape, length and width of caecum is very necessary for performing various abdominal surgeries [16]. In conclusion, no statistically significant difference was found in the morphology, position and dimensions of appendix and caecum in both the sexes as compared to previous studies.
Figure 1: Showing Retrocaecal Appendix with Adult Caecum

Figure 2: Showing Pelvic Appendix with Foetal Caecum
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